1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of the State of California JAMES M. HUMES Chief Assistant Attorney General FRANCES T. GRUNDER Senior Assistant Attorney General JONATHAN L. WOLFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAY C. RUSSELL, State Bar No. 122626 Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5717 Fax: (415) 703-5843 email: Jay.Russell@doj.ca.gov	
9 10 11 12 13 14	Attorneys for Defendants Krings and Speed  Kate Dyer, State Bar Number 171891 Jodi Linker, State Bar Number 230273 CLARENCE & DYER LLP 899 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 749-1800 Facsimile: (415) 749-1694 emails: kdyer@clarencedyer.com jlinker@clarencedyer.com	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Carlos Miranda	
16 17 18 19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
20	CARLOS MIRANDA.	Case No.: 03-CV-05895 CRB
21 22 23	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
24	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,	
25	Defendants.	
26		
27	The parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby jointly propose and stipulate as	
28	follows.	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 03-5895 CRB	

WHEREAS, on June 23, 2006, the Court held a Case Management Conference, at which the 1 2 Court granted plaintiff's request to conduct discovery regarding the issue of qualified immunity; 3 WHEREAS, defendants have filed a Motion for Summary Judgement that relates primarily to the issue of qualified immunity; 4 5 WHEREAS, the parties are now in a dispute over the qualified immunity discovery; WHEREAS, the parties agree that they should attempt to resolve this dispute prior to any 6 7 involvement by the Court or further briefing by the parties; 8 WHEREAS, the parties anticipate that they will be able to resolve this dispute without the Court's intervention if provided sufficient time; THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by the parties through their undersigned counsel, 10 11 that the date of hearing of Defendant Krings and Speed's Motion for Summary Judgment presently scheduled for November 3, 2006 shall be continued pending resolution of the current discovery dispute. 12 The parties further stipulate that they will work diligently together to attempt to resolve the current 13 discovery dispute. If the parties jointly resolve their discovery dispute, they will jointly file a proposed 14 15 briefing schedule with the Court for defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. If the parties are unable to resolve their discovery dispute jointly, they will file promptly any appropriate motions with 16 17 the Court for resolution and/or referral to a Magistrate Judge. 18 Respectfully submitted, 19 20 Dated: October 6, 2006 By: /s/: Jodi Linker Jodi Linker 21 Clarence & Dyer LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Carlos Miranda 22 23 Dated: October 6, 2006 By: /s/: Jay Russell Jay C. Russell Deputy Attorney General 24 Attorneys for Defendants Speed and Krings 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 27 IT IS <u>SO</u> ORDERED Dated: \_October 10, 2006 28 The Honorable Charle United States District Judge Charles R. Breyer STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 03-5895 CRB

2